IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 1466 /2002

(UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA)

Petitioner
Applicant : SANJAY KUMAR PANDE
S/o LATE SHRI MOTI RAM PANDE
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS,
HEAD CONSTABLE NO. 285
1st Battalion, SAF Bhilai,
DISTT.DURG (CG).
R/O Qr.No. 34, FIRST BN. SAF
BHILAI, DISTT.DURG (CG).

P.R. No. 1469/02
Presented by Shri Ravindra Singh
dated 24.10.02

VERSUS

Respondents
Non-appli (1) STATE OF CHHATTISGARH,
THROUGH SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF HOME,
MANTRALAYA, RAIPUR (CG) 492001.

Sd/-
24/10/02

(2) DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
POLICE HEAD QUARTER, STATE of C.G.
RAIPUR (CG) 492001.

Amended as per Court order dt. 16/7/2003
24/7/03

Writ peti tution
of India.

"3 Deputy Inspector General
of Police,
SAF, Bhilai
District Durg (Chhattisgarh)
Presently designated as
Chhattisgarh Sashatra Bal

"4. State of Madhya Pradesh
through the Principal Secretary
Department of Home
Vallabh Bhavan, Bhopal
(Madhya Pradesh)

XI-HC-22

(63)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1466/ सन् 2002

.....

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

DB: Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin & Hon'ble Shri L.C. Bhadoo, JJ.

01.04.2004

Shri Sandeep Dubey with Shri J. Pali, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sanjay S Agarwal, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Heard.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India being aggrieved by the order of M.P. State Administrative Tribunal, Raipur passed on 01.07.2002 in O.A. No.591/2002 whereby the original application filed by the present petitioner was disposed of summarily on the ground that it is premature.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in a matter where the petition has been dismissed on the ground that it is premature, an opportunity should be given to the applicant to make representation. Therefore, he submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1, to which learned State counsel has no objection.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court ends of justice will be served if the petitioner is permitted to

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

make a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this order within a period of three weeks from today before the competent authority/respondent No.1 and on such representation being filed, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law on its own merits. If the grievances of the petitioner still subsist, he may avail remedy available under the law.

With the direction aforesaid, this petition is disposed of.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

[पीछे देखिये